

Reaffirmation of Accreditation Recommendation

for

**Western New Mexico University
Silver City, NM 88062**

**of the 2007-08
Academic Quality Improvement Program
Review Panel on Reaffirmation**

**The Higher Learning Commission
A Commission of the North Central Association of Colleges and Schools**

November 7, 2007

AQIP Review Panel on Reaffirmation

Robert Callaway, Director, Institutional Effectiveness & Planning
Lorain County Community College, Elyria, OH

Christopher Davis, Director of Assessment
Baker College, Flint, MI

Julie Furst-Bowe, Provost and Vice Chancellor for Academic and Student Affairs, University of
Wisconsin-Stout, Menomonie, WI

*Charles Guengerich, President
City Colleges of Chicago-Wilbur Wright College, Chicago, IL

Dennis Joslin, President
Nebraska Methodist College of Nursing and Allied Health
Omaha, NE

Adrian Lorbitske, President
Nicolet Area Technical College, Rhinelander, WI

Geri Markley, Chair, Quality Management Dept
Cleary University, Wayne, MI

Katy Marre, Professor of English/Former Assoc. VP Grad Studies & Research,
University of Dayton, Dayton, OH

Arnold Mokma, Associate Professor Emeritus
Ohio State University-Agricultural Technical Institute
Wooster, OH

Mary Moore, VP for Research, Planning & Int. Partnerships
University of Indianapolis, Indianapolis, IN

Craig Mosher, Executive Assistant to the President
Highland Community College, Highland, KS 660354

John Nichols, NEH Distinguished Teaching Professor of Philosophy
Saint Joseph's College, Rensselaer, IN

Diane Nyhammer, Executive Dean of Humanities
McHenry County College, Crystal Lake, IL

Beth Pellicciotti, Asst. Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs
Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, IN

David Sill, Associate Provost
Southern Illinois University Edwardsville, Edwardsville, IL

Susan Stenerson, Dean of Planning & Institutional Effectiveness
Lake Superior College, Duluth, MN

William Tammone, Vice President for Academic Services
Montcalm Community College, Sidney, MI

Kimberly Thompson, Director of Assessment and College Research
Regis University, Denver, CO

Richard Wagner, Vice President of Academic Affairs
Dunwoody College of Technology, Minneapolis, MN

*Linda Wellborn, Director of Professional Studies and Academic Quality Improvement
Evangel University, Springfield, MO

Contents

I. Context and Nature of Visit

A. Review Purpose, Process, and Materials	4
B. Organizational Context	5
C. Organizational Scope and Structure	5
(including extended physical or distance education operations)	
D. Notification of Evaluation Visit and Solicitation of Third-Party Comment	6
E. Compliance With Federal Requirements	6
F. Evidence of the Organization's Responsiveness to Previous Commission Concerns regarding fulfillment of the Criteria for Accreditation	6

II. Fulfillment of the Criteria for Accreditation

Criterion One:	Mission and Integrity	6
Criterion Two:	Preparing for the Future	9
Criterion Three:	Student Learning and Effective Teaching	12
Criterion Four:	Acquisition, Discovery, and Application of Knowledge ...	15
Criterion Five:	Engagement and Service	18

Summary of team recommendations regarding fulfillment of the Criteria for Accreditation	21
--	----

III. Participation in the Academic Quality Improvement Program (AQIP)

A. Comments and counsel on AQIP action projects	21
B. Comments and counsel on the AQIP categories	22
C. Comments and counsel on the AQIP principles of high performance organizations and the institution's quality program or infrastructure	23

Summary of team counsel about the organization's commitment to continuous quality improvement and its participation in AQIP	24
--	----

I. Context And Nature Of Review

A. Review Purpose, Process, and Materials

AQIP Reaffirmation of Accreditation reviews are scheduled seven years in advance, when an institution first joins the Academic Quality Improvement Program (AQIP) or when an institution already participating in AQIP is reaffirmed via the AQIP Reaffirmation of Accreditation process.

In conducting these reviews, the AQIP Reaffirmation of review panel examines the following materials for each institution:

- Current Commission History file of institutional actions
- Current Commission Statement of Affiliation Status
- Current official Commission Organizational Profile
- Annual Updates of year's Action Projects
- Application to join AQIP
- AQIP Review Panel Report(s) on Institutional Status Change Requests
- Focused visit report(s) and action letter(s)
- Institutional websites
- Key correspondence between the institution and the Commission
- Last Comprehensive PEAQ Evaluation team report, institutional response, and Commission action letter
- Quality Checkup report(s)
- Summary of Action Projects attempted
- Summary Update of institutional activity and dynamics since the last Quality Checkup, provided by the institution on September 1 of the review year
- Systems Appraisal Feedback Report(s)
- Systems Portfolio Index(es) (to compliance with the Criteria for Accreditation)
- Systems Portfolio(s), including update provided by the institution on September 1 of the review year
- Any other major reports or documents that are part of the institution's permanent Commission files

Two lead panelists from the AQIP Reaffirmation of Accreditation draft a recommendation that is reviewed and approved by the entire panel before it is forwarded to the Institutional Actions Council.

